

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
 ROCK HILL DIVISION

---

NUTRAMAX LABORATORIES, INC.	)	
and NUTRAMAX MANUFACTURING,	)	
INC.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	Civil Action No.
v.	)	
	)	
BIOIBERICA, S.A.,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

---

PLAINTIFFS NUTRAMAX LABORATORIES, INC.’S AND NUTRAMAX  
 MANUFACTURING, INC.’S APPLICATION FOR RECOGNITION  
 AND ENFORCEMENT IN PART AND VACATUR IN PART  
OF A FOREIGN ARBITRAL AWARD

Pursuant to the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (New York, June 10, 1958), 21 U.S.T. 2517, T.I.A.S. No. 6997, 330 U.N.T.S. 38 (“Convention”) and its implementing legislation as set forth in Chapter 2 of the Federal Arbitration Act (“FAA”), Plaintiffs Nutramax Laboratories, Inc. (“Nutramax Labs”) and Nutramax Manufacturing, Inc. (“Nutramax Manufacturing”) (collectively, “Nutramax Plaintiffs”) respectfully request that this Court recognize and enforce in part and vacate or otherwise deem unenforceable in part a final arbitration award issued by a three-member panel of the International Court of Arbitration of the International Chamber of Commerce (“ICC Panel”) on December 10, 2021 (“ICC Award”).

As more fully described in the Nutramax Plaintiffs’ accompanying Memorandum of Law in Support, which is being filed contemporaneously herewith and is hereby incorporated by reference, the ICC Panel’s award to the Nutramax Plaintiffs of €5000 in nominal damages for

Bioiberica, S.A.'s ("Bioiberica") proven breach of contract regarding trade dress infringement should be enforced, as all conditions for enforcement under the Convention and Chapter 2 of the FAA have been met. However, the portion of the ICC Award to Bioiberica for arbitration costs and fees (totaling €711,968.30, \$91,990.56, £18,741.60, and F3110.40, plus 10% post-Award interest) should be vacated or otherwise deemed unenforceable under the Convention because it violates public policy by consciously disregarding and/or diametrically inverting U.S. Public policy and the clear meaning of the parties' underlying contractual agreement, specifically the agreement's "prevailing party" fee-shifting provision.

#### I. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the Nutramax Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court:

- a. Grant their application for recognition and enforcement of that portion of the ICC Award that awarded them €5000 in nominal damages for Bioiberica's breach of contract regarding infringement of their trade dress; and
- b. Vacate or otherwise deem unenforceable that portion of the ICC Award that awarded Bioiberica arbitration costs and fees (totaling €711,968.30, \$91,990.56, £18,741.60, and F3110.40, plus 10% post-Award interest) that the parties' agreement clearly makes available only to a "prevailing party," not an adjudged infringer.

Respectfully submitted,  
Nutramax Laboratories, Inc. and Nutramax  
Manufacturing, Inc.,  
by their attorneys,

K&L GATES LLP

/s/ Jeffrey S Patterson

Jeffrey S. Patterson  
Fed Bar No. 6603  
jeffrey.patterson@klgates.com  
K&L Gates LLP  
State Street Financial Center  
Boston, Massachusetts 02111  
Tel: (617) 261-3100  
Fax: (617) 261-3175

NELSON MULLINS RILEY &  
SCARBOROUGH LLP

s/ C. Mitchell Brown

C. Mitchell Brown  
Fed Bar No. 5283  
E-Mail: mitch.brown@nelsonmullins.com  
Matthew D. Patterson  
Fed Bar No. 7788  
E-Mail: matt.patterson@nelsonmullins.com  
1320 Main Street / 17th Floor  
Post Office Box 11070 (29211-1070)  
Columbia, SC 29201  
(803) 799-2000

Dated: December 21, 2021